Mercury and halogen emissions from Masaya and Telica volcanoes, Nicaragua
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[1] We report measurements of Hg, SO2, and halogens (HCl, HBr, HI) in volcanic gases from Masaya volcano, Nicaragua, and gaseous SO2 and halogens from Telica volcano, Nicaragua. Mercury measurements were made with a Lumex 915+ portable mercury vapor analyzer and gold traps, while halogens, CO2 and S species were monitored with a portable multi gas sensor and filter packs. Lumex Hg concentrations in the plume were consistently above background and ranged up to 350 ng m–3. Hg/SO2 mass ratios measured with the real-time instruments ranged from 1.1 × 10–7 to 3.5 × 10–5 (mean 2 × 10–5). Total gaseous mercury (TGM) concentrations measured by gold trap ranged from 100 to 225 ng m–3. Reactive gaseous mercury accounted for 1% of TGM, while particulate mercury was 5% of the TGM. Field measurements of Masaya’s SO2 flux, combined with the Hg/SO2 ratio, indicate a Hg flux from Masaya of 7.2 Mg a–1. At Masaya’s low temperature fumaroles, Hg/CO2 mass ratios were consistently around 2 × 10–8, lower than observed in the main vent (Hg/CO2 ~ 10–7). Low-temperature fumarole Hg fluxes from Masaya are insignificant (~150 g a–1).

[2] High-temperature emissions from persistently degassing volcanoes are a known source of trace metal emissions to the atmosphere [Aiuppa et al., 2003; Hinkley et al., 1999; Mather et al., 2003; Nriagu, 1989]. These metal emissions may have important environmental consequences, with evidence for water, soils, rock coatings, and vegetation showing elevated metal concentrations near volcanoes [e.g., Allard et al., 2000; Fulignati et al., 2006; Giammanco et al., 1998; Varrica et al., 2000; Watt et al., 2007]. However, volcanogenic trace volatile metal fluxes are poorly constrained and there is an almost complete absence of data on the factors (whether magma composition, eruptive temperature, or volcanic gas composition) that influence metal degassing rates at different volcanoes.

[3] Mercury is of particular interest since it is a toxic volatile metal found at elevated concentrations in remote regions of the world [Fitzgerald et al., 1998; Morel et al., 1998]. Natural sources of mercury include soils, forests, oceans, and volcanoes [Schroeder and Munthe, 1998]. The concentration of mercury in the atmosphere has been perturbed by recent anthropogenic activities, including mining, coal burning, and waste incineration [Pacyna and Pacyna, 2002]. Atmospheric mercury exists predominantly as gaseous elemental mercury (Hg(0); GEM), which, as a relatively unreactive gas with low solubility, is inefficiently removed by precipitation, leading to an atmospheric lifetime of ca. 0.5–2 years [Lindqvist and Rodhe, 1985; Slemr et al., 1985]. This is in contrast to other metals, which tend to be found in the particle phase and have atmospheric lifetimes of days [Schroeder and Munthe, 1998]. The long atmospheric lifetime means that Hg(0) can be transported globally, away from its initial source.

[4] A fraction of atmospheric mercury may exist as fine particulate mercury (HgP) or as reactive gaseous mercury (Hg2+, RGM). Major RGM species include HgCl2, Hg(OH)2 and other mercury halides [Lindberg and Stratton, 1998]. As RGM is more water soluble than GEM, it is the form of Hg most readily scavenged by rain [Jitaru and
Adams, 2004] leading to an atmospheric lifetime of days to weeks [Munthe et al., 2001]. Background concentrations of particulate Hg are thought to be low [Slemr et al., 1985], although close to emission point sources this proportion may increase. Once deposited to waters, biological processes convert relatively inert elemental Hg into toxic methylated forms [Ulbrich et al., 2001] which are lipid soluble and bioaccumulate in the food chain [Bloom, 1992; Jonnalagadda and Rao, 1993; Mason et al., 1995; Morel et al., 1998].

While there is now unequivocal evidence that mercury is present in volcanic emissions [e.g., Bagnato et al., 2007; Varekamp and Buseck, 1981], there remains considerable uncertainty over the quantity of volcanic Hg emissions, the speciation of these emissions, and their significance to the global natural mercury budget [Pyle and Mather, 2003]. There is also, as yet, only a poor understanding of the partitioning of volcanic mercury fluxes between high-temperature vents and lower-temperature fumaroles and geothermal fields.

Here we present the first measurements of the flux and speciation of Hg from Masaya volcano in Nicaragua, augmented with new measurements of Br, I, and other volatile species in the plumes from Masaya and Telica volcanoes. We discuss the implications for global volcanic emissions of Hg and the origins of Br and I in volcanic plumes.

2. Methods

2.1. Sampling Locations

Masaya (11.984°N, 86.161°W, summit elevation 635 m) is a basaltic shield volcano in Nicaragua (Figure 1a), characterized by extended degassing episodes from the main pit crater [Stoiber et al., 1986]. The current degassing episode began in 1993, and sustained SO2 emissions from the Santiago crater since that time have varied from ~4.5 to ~21 kg s\(^{-1}\) [Delmelle et al., 1999; Mather et al., 2006; Rymer et al., 1998], leading to significant environmental impacts downwind from the volcano [Delmelle et al., 2002].

Daytime measurements were made between 23 February and 6 March 2006 at Masaya, Nicaragua. Measurements were made at the crater rim, in the plume from the active vents of Santiago crater at two sites: (1) at Sapper car park to the south and (2) on the upper edge of Nindiri crater to the northwest (Figure 1b). Measurements were also carried out at fumaroles located on the upper edge of Nindiri crater and at the nearby Cerro Comalito (Figure 1b).

Measurements were also made at Telica volcano, a basaltic andesitic stratovolcano located north of Masaya (12.602°N, 86.845°W, summit elevation 1061 m, Figure 1a), during the same period. Telica’s summit ridge contains several craters; the active center is dominated by a 200 m deep, 400 m wide pit. Fumarolic activity is concentrated around the base of the walls and up a number of fracture zones [Roche et al., 2001]. Recent activity at Telica has been characterized by persistent degassing, punctuated by more explosive ash producing events. At the time of measurement, degassing was through fumaroles inside the crater, the floor of which was covered in rubble. There was increased activity at Telica in the months following our measurements, with some small ash and gas explosions.

2.2. Real Time Measurements

2.2.1. SO\(_2\), CO\(_2\), H\(_2\)S, Temperature, Humidity, and Pressure

Concentrations of SO\(_2\), CO\(_2\), and H\(_2\)S were monitored using a gas sensor system [Aiuppa et al., 2005b; Shinozawa, 2005]. Gas was drawn into the sampler using an airchek 500 pump at 0.8 L min\(^{-1}\) through a 0.2 µm Teflon membrane particle filter, passed through a CO\(_2\) infrared detector (Gascard II Edinburgh instruments; 0–5000 ppm) followed by a series of electrochemical sensors which detected SO\(_2\) (0–100 ppm) and H\(_2\)S (0–50 ppm). The SO\(_2\) sensor had an inbuilt filter to prevent interference from H\(_2\)S. Temperature and relative humidity were measured with an external probe (Rotronics hydroclip combined RH/Temp probe), and the whole system was housed in a weatherproof box mounted on a backpack frame. Sensors were calibrated, before and after fieldwork, with calibration gases (100 ppm SO\(_2\), 50 ppm H\(_2\)S, and 4000 ppm CO\(_2\) supplied to 2% accuracy) mixed with ultrapure nitrogen to provide a range of concentrations. The data logger employed with the gas sensor box offered fairly coarse resolution (6.3 ppm SO\(_2\), 20 ppm CO\(_2\), H\(_2\)S 3.1 ppm, temperature 1°C, relative humidity 1%) for the species studied. Despite the coarse resolution and the narrow range of gas concentrations that were encountered, we can nonetheless demonstrate the potential this technique offers for measuring gas species ratios at these volcanoes for the first time.

2.2.2. Gaseous Elemental Mercury

Continuous mercury measurements were made using a Lumex 915+ portable mercury vapor analyzer. The analyzer sampled filtered air at 20 L min\(^{-1}\). The analyzer uses differential atomic absorption spectrometry and the Zeeman Effect [Sholupov and Ganeyev, 1995]. The sampler was placed at least 1 m above the ground, to reduce potential interferences from resuspended dust. During sampling a zero correction was carried out to reset the baseline every 5 min by switching the airflow through a mercury absorption filter. We have previously described the use of the Lumex for the measurement of mercury in fumarole emissions from Vulcano, Italy, and Taiwan [Aiuppa et al., 2007; M. L. Witt et al., Fumarole compositions and mercury emissions from the Tatun Volcanic Field, Taiwan: Results from real time measurements and direct sampling techniques, submitted to Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 2008].

2.2.3. Particle Measurements

The number of particles present in the plume was monitored with a GRIMM dust monitor (model 1.106). This is a portable optical particle monitor which allows direct mass or number concentration measurement across 14 size fractions between 0.3 and 20 µm in near real-time. The GRIMM dust monitor has proved to be a very useful proxy for the volcanic plume both at Masaya and elsewhere [Allen et al., 2006; Mather et al., 2004].

2.3. Time-Integrated Measurements

2.3.1. Total Gaseous Mercury

Gold coated sand traps were employed to collect total gaseous mercury (TGM). Air was drawn through the gold trap at a rate of 0.4–0.5 L min\(^{-1}\) for sampling periods of 1–2 h, depending on the strength of the plume. In order
to measure the corresponding SO$_2$ concentration in the gas sampled, a filter pack containing a filter impregnated with 1 M NaHCO$_3$ (see section 2.2.2) was used in series with the gold traps. Following sampling, the gold traps were sealed, placed in a plastic tube, and double-bagged. The tubes were refrigerated until analysis. Gaseous mercury analysis was
carried out at Palermo University using cold-vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CVAFS, Tekran 2600). Mercury collected in the gold amalgam tube was liberated by heating the tube and transferring it to an absorption cell where it is detected by atomic absorption at 253.7 nm. The instrument was calibrated using a series of injections of mercury gas generated by heating liquid mercury in a sealed environment [Bagnato et al., 2007]. Blank values were several orders of magnitude smaller than the values recorded in the samples. Owing to the nature of the technique and limited availability of gold traps it was not possible to collect multiple samples. However, previous studies have found good reproducibility with the gold traps [e.g., Aspma et al., 2005; Ebinghaus et al., 1999; Schroeder et al., 1995]. On the basis of the calibration of the method, uncertainty is estimated as ±25%.

2.3.2. Reactive Gaseous Mercury

[14] Reactive gaseous mercury (RGM) was collected using an annular denuder treated with KCl as described by Landis et al. [2002]. The denuder had a 25.4 cm active annular surface length with a 1 mm annular space; the inner annulus tubes have a small capillary vent to release air pressure during heating. Gases were drawn through the denuder for a total of 10 h, at a rate of 24 L min⁻¹, over two separate occasions to accumulate sufficient material on the denuder for detection. A quartz prefILTER was used to prevent particulates entering the denuder. A filter pack containing four filters, treated with 1 M NaHCO₃ to determine the corresponding SO₂ concentration (see section 2.3.4) in the gas sampled was placed after the denuder. The filter pack was changed every 1–2 h during sampling to avoid saturation of the SO₂ filters. RGM was determined by rapidly heating the denuder to 500°C three times to desorb mercury species and enable detection as GEM with CVAFS. The overall mean error of this method is ≤15%.

2.3.3. Particulate Mercury (Hgₚ)

[15] A 7 mm diameter quartz microfiber filter minitrap supported on a nickel grid in a glass tube in was used to measure particulate Hg in a number of samples. The air was drawn through the tube for 1–2 h at a flow rate of ~3 L min⁻¹. The exposed minitraps were stored in a sealed plastic tube with zip lock bags and kept refrigerated until analysis. To determine the particulate Hg content, the traps were pyrolysed (heated to 800–900°C in a stream of argon) to decompose all of the mercury present to elemental Hg gas. This enabled the transfer of gaseous Hg to a gold amalgam trap where it can be analyzed with the Tekran instrument as described for the GEM analysis. The precision of this method is hindered by the presence of SO₂ and uncertainty is estimated as ±30% [Bagnato et al., 2007].

2.3.4. Ratios of SO₂, HCl, HBr, and HI

[16] Measurements were made at the crater rim at Masaya between 24 February and 6 March 2006 and at the crater rim of Telica volcano on 27 February 2006. Background measurements were made upwind of the active crater within the Masaya national park (Figure 1b). Air was pumped at a known flow rate (from ~12 to 28 L min⁻¹) through filter packs containing four filters in series for 70–117 min. The first filter collected solid and liquid particles and the subsequent three filters were impregnated with 1 M NaHCO₃ to trap acidic gaseous volatiles. SO₂, HCl, HBr, and HI collected on the filters was measured according to the methodology detailed by Aiuppa et al. [2005a] with ICP-MS used for Cl, Br and I and HPLC used for S. On the basis of triplicate analyses of samples the uncertainties in the ICP-MS measurements for Cl, Br and I are ±10%, ±11%, and ±19%, respectively. Errors in the determination of S by HPLC are estimated as approximately ±10%. Blank filters and field blanks (i.e., filters from filter packs transported into the field and back in an identical manner to samples but not attached to a pump) were also analyzed.

2.3.5. Fluxes of SO₂

[18] Sulfur dioxide flux measurements were made using a UV spectrometer at Masaya on 22 and 25 February and 2 and 4 March and at Telica, San Cristóbal, and Momotombo on 27 February and 5 March. The methodology used, and a detailed error analysis, is presented elsewhere [Mather et al., 2006]. Wind speeds were determined from HYSPLIT model trajectories [Draxler and Rolph, 2003].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Sulfur Dioxide Fluxes

[19] The results from the traverses at Masaya volcano are presented in Table 1. Considerable variation can be seen between the measurements made on 22 and 25 February and those made on 4 March. This variability is greater than that observed between days in November and December 2003 [Mather et al., 2006] but is consistent with other measurements made during the same time period in 2006 [Nadeau and Williams-Jones, 2008]. All the flux measurements presented here (650–1700 Mg d⁻¹) suggest that the SO₂ flux from Masaya is larger than it was during the 2003 measurement period (mean of 220 Mg d⁻¹). Despite attempting measurements on two different days at Telica, San Cristóbal, and Momotombo, it was not possible to resolve the SO₂ plumes, and SO₂ fluxes could not be retrieved. Similar difficulties were experienced previously at Momotombo, which were attributed to the dilute nature of its plume [Mather et al., 2006]; the difficulties experienced at Telica and San Cristóbal may have been due to a combination of high wind speeds and plume-grounding.

3.2. Concentrations of SO₂ and Halogen Species Near the Active Vents at Masaya and Telica

[20] The mean concentrations of SO₂, HCl, HBr, and HI are presented in Table 2. Concentrations of gaseous plume species at crater rims depend on both volcanic (gas flux) and nonvolcanic factors (extent of mixing and dilution in the vent, wind speed, and direction), hence comparing the concentrations of species between different volcanoes is not necessarily meaningful. That said, the SO₂ and HCl concentrations that we report in Table 2 are in line with other recent measurements at Masaya [Mather et al., 2006]. Background levels were similar to those from previous studies for SO₂ and HCl (~0.01 mg m⁻³ [Mather et al., 2006]) and below the detection limit for HBr and HI. Filter blanks were not significant for any species and field blanks were only significant when compared to the SO₂ and HCl background levels.

3.3. Ratios of S and Halogen Species

[21] Mean molar HBr/SO₂ ratios were 3.0 × 10⁻⁴ for both Masaya and Telica, with HI/SO₂ being 2.0 × 10⁻⁵ and
5.8 $\times 10^{-5}$ for Masaya and Telica, respectively (Table 2). Combined with the SO$_2$ flux measurements discussed in section 3.1 and Table 1, this equates to HBr fluxes of 0.2–0.5 Mg d$^{-1}$ (0.07–0.18 kt a$^{-1}$) and 2.0 Mg d$^{-1}$ (0.78 kt a$^{-1}$) for Masaya and Telica, respectively, and HI fluxes of 0.02–0.05 Mg d$^{-1}$ (0.007–0.018 kt a$^{-1}$) and 0.06 Mg d$^{-1}$ (0.02 kt a$^{-1}$) for Masaya and Telica, respectively (Table 2).

Table 1. Sulphur Dioxide Fluxes Measured at Masaya

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date in 2006</th>
<th>Time (UT)</th>
<th>Wind Speed (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>SO$_2$ Flux (Mg d$^{-1}$)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 Feb</td>
<td>2220–2225</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2242–2245</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day mean: 1700 ± 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Feb</td>
<td>2141–2145</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2157–2200</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2207–2213</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2218–2223</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>1463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2231–2234</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>1315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2241–2246</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>2191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day mean: 1500 ± 380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Mar</td>
<td>2136–2141</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Mar</td>
<td>2106–2109</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2116–2118</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2124–2127</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2135–2138</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2141–2145</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day mean: 650 ± 290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Errors are based on 1 standard deviation.

$^b$Here n = 12.

$^c$Here n = 2.

$^d$Errors are based on 2 standard deviations.

$^e$The range of day means from Table 1.

$^f$No successful traverses were completed at Telica in 2006. This flux is taken from Mother et al. [2006]; the failure to successfully measure a SO$_2$ flux may indicate a reduced gas flux from the volcano at the time of measurement.

In contrast, Aiuppa et al. [2005a] found the halogen to SO$_2$ ratios at Etna to be stable over time. We find mean molar ratios of 1190 ± 310 (CI/Br) and 18200 ± 4300 (Cl/I) for Masaya and 1660 ± 430 (CI/Br) and 7747 ± 47 (Cl/I) for Telica (Figure 2). These Cl/Br values are in broad agreement with the ratios measured in Masaya aerosol in 1981 (~930 ± 300 [Stoiber et al., 1986]; in Telica condensates in 1981 (2160 [Gemmell, 1987]), and with those from Etna [Aiuppa et al., 2005a]. Measured CI/Br ratios are only a little higher than mean seawater and continental crust (Figure 2). Our new measurements of CI/Br in high-temperature emissions from arc volcanoes (rather than the fumarolic condensate data compiled by Gerlach [2004]) do not support the suggestion that Cl/Br ratios of arc volcano gases are lower than rift or hot spot volcanoes [Aiuppa et al., 2005a].

The Cl/I ratios from Nicaragua are considerably lower than those from Etna [Aiuppa et al., 2005a, Figure 2], as are the S/I ratios discussed above. In fact, the Masaya and Telica volcanic gas compositions are markedly more enriched in I, relative to Br and Cl, than both the gases from Etna and hot springs from the Cascades [Hurwitz et al., 2005]. Given the few constraints on halogen melt-vapor partition coefficients (the only data being from water-saturated, iron-free silicic melts relevant to naturally occurring magmas of rhyolitic composition [Bureau et al., 2000; Bureau and Metrich, 2003]), it remains possible that this signature reflects differences between the degassing melts or the degassing process. We consider it more likely, though, that the extent of I enrichment in the volatile phase reflects the contributions from a deeper source. Here, again, there are few constraints. The subduction budget of the halogens is expected to be dominated by the sediment contribution, and in particular the contribution from pore waters [Jarrard, 2003]. The iodine budget will also be very sensitive to the amount of organic C being subducted, while the I flux into the subarc mantle will depend on the extent to which the organic carbon is oxidized, and iodine is lost into dewatering fluids, at shallow levels in the trench [e.g., Martin et al., 1993]. Qualitatively, the more...
I-rich compositions of subduction-related fluids, gases, and condensates from Central America and the Cascades compared to Etna, are consistent with a subduction source for I; in accord with studies of $^{129}\text{I}$ in subduction-zone products [Snyder and Fehn, 2002]. Differences between halogen outputs from the Cascadia arc and the Central American arc are most likely to reflect the subduction of a different sedimentary stratigraphy at the two trenches (clastic sediments versus siliceous oozes and carbonates, respectively [Plank and Langmuir, 1998]).

In general, the volcanic samples are more enriched in Cl than many other terrestrial reservoirs (Figure 2). A similar pattern of halogen fractionation is observed at Etna and in Nicaragua (if we take crust or marine pore water compositions as a starting point) as in the Cascadia arc. If the melt-vapor partition coefficients of Bureau et al. [2000] are even qualitatively correct our degassing curves suggest that the levels of I are too high in the volcanic vapors for degassing to account for the Cl enrichment. In the absence of a plausible Cl-rich end-member we can only speculate that some sort of fractionation process is occurring that favors Cl concentration into the melts or associated fluids phase at some stage during magmatogenesis and transport to the surface. This highlights the need for more experimental data on the fluid-melt partitioning behavior of halogens in magmas of different compositions as well as further gas measurements and petrological studies of volcanic samples to understand their behavior in natural systems.

### MultiGas Sensor Results

[26] CO$_2$ and SO$_2$ were monitored continuously at Masaya with the IR and electrochemical sensors. Previous
studies of the composition of Masaya’s plume found a CO₂:SO₂ molar ratio of 1.5–2.9 and H₂O:SO₂ ratios of 66–69 [Burton et al., 2000]. Despite the coarse data resolution, the CO₂:SO₂ molar ratio recorded with the gas sensor box (based on 1 min averages) at Masaya (3.5 ± 0.4) are reasonably consistent with these previous measurements. As observed in previous studies [Duffell et al., 2003; Stoiber et al., 1986], little or no H₂S was detected in the gases at Masaya.

3.5. Measurements of GEM in the Volcanic Plume With the Lumex Instrument

[27] The background concentration of mercury was measured a number of times during the field campaign with the Lumex at a site on Masaya upwind from the volcanic gases (Figure 1b). A background of 20 ng m⁻³ was recorded at the start of the Masaya study (22 February), the background values measured with the Lumex over the campaign dropped steadily to 6.1 ng m⁻³ by 2 March. This decrease in background GEM values was possibly a result of contamination of the instrument’s zero Hg filter leading to a raised baseline. Limited availability of gold traps meant we were unable to record a background TGM concentration with these.

[28] The Lumex analyzer detected GEM in Masaya’s plume on each day that sampling was carried out. Figure 3 shows the changes in GEM concentration as 10 or 6 s averages along with the number of particles (data from the GRIMM) measured near the vent for the first few days of the field campaign. During sampling it was noted that GEM peaks were often detected later than corresponding peaks in particles number. The offset in the particle and GEM peaks may be due to differences in the pump rates or the small distance between sample inlets or differences in the transport dynamics between gases and particles. Exposure to the volcanic gases was not continuous at the sampling locations. At times the plume was lofted above the instruments or blown in the opposite direction. These gusts of plume are reflected in the variability in signal shown in Figure 3. When sampling the volcanic gases, the GEM concentrations were consistently above the background levels.

3.6. Time-Integrated Mercury Results

3.6.1. Total Gaseous Mercury

[29] Mercury concentrations recorded using gold trap methods are reported in Table 3. Between 100 and 225 ng TGM m⁻³ were recorded at Masaya in the main plume gases. The lowest TGM was observed on 4 March, close to the time a decreased SO₂ flux was also observed. On all occasions that measurements were made, TGM concentrations were approximately an order of magnitude
higher than background concentrations measured with the Lumex instrument. Variations in the concentrations of TGM measured are to be expected as the dilution of the plume changes with weather conditions at the sampling location.

### 3.6.2. Particulate Mercury and Reactive Gaseous Mercury

[30] Particulate Hg concentrations between 3.8 and 13.7 ng m⁻³ were recorded in Masaya’s plume (Table 3), comprising between 1.7 and 8.0% of the total Hg present (Table 4). This is high, when compared to the few other volcanoes for which reliable modern data exist, such as Etna (1–2%), La Soufrière, Guadeloupe (1–2%), and Miyake Jima (0.4–0.7%) [Bagnato et al., 2007]. Varekamp and Buseck (1986) proposed that particulate Hg in volcanic plumes is enhanced by the presence of H₂SO₄ in which Hg is soluble. Significant fluxes of H₂SO₄ have been detected from Masaya and this may have contributed to the enhanced Hg (p) formation [Mather et al., 2006]. The concentrations of Hg(p) observed at Masaya were well above general background concentrations of around 25 pg m⁻³ [Poissant et al., 2005; Valente et al., 2007], and exceed typical urban concentrations (e.g., 6–60 pg m⁻³ in industrial Detroit [Lynam and Keeler, 2006]).

[31] The mean RGM content at Masaya, measured using a single denuder over two separate sampling periods on 1 and 6 March, was 2 ng RGM m⁻³, or 1.2% of the average total Hg detected (Table 4). In Etna’s plume, measured RGM concentrations are higher (6 ng m⁻³), but account for a similar proportion of TGM [Bagnato et al., 2007]. At clean air sites elsewhere, RGM typically comprises ~0.3% (concentrations of 5–50 pg m⁻³) of TGM [Poissant et al., 2005; Valente et al., 2007]. These are the first sets of modern RGM measurements on volcanoes, and they are sufficient to demonstrate the importance of volcanoes as a source of RGM. However, from these sparse data alone, it is not possible to determine the origin of the RGM (for example, whether it is emitted directly from magma or produced by reaction in the plume) or the potential roles of plume chemistry (HCl, SO₂, HBr), local meteorology and air mass composition (T, RH, O₃), and photochemistry on volcanic Hg speciation [cf. Ghorishi et al., 2005; Lindberg and Stratton, 1998; Lynam and Keeler, 2006]. Much further work is needed in this regard.

[32] The Hg(p) and RGM fluxes from Masaya are estimated as 414 and 82 kg a⁻¹, respectively (Table 4). This is a small fraction of the TGM flux, but as these reactive species are more readily removed from the atmosphere than GEM, they are likely to be important in terms of the environmental impact of degassing volcanoes on the immediate areas downwind.

### 3.7. Comparison of Lumex and Gold Trap Mercury Techniques at Masaya

[33] Previous comparisons of gold traps and Lumex Hg detection techniques by Kim et al. [2006] found the gold trap method detected about 1.2 times the amount detected by the Lumex instrument. At Masaya, Hg detected with gold trap techniques was 5 to 19 times higher than those recorded simultaneously by the Lumex. This contrasts with our experience at Vulcano, with a different Lumex instrument, where the agreement was much better [Aiuppa et al., 2007]. We consider it unlikely that the difference is real; a more likely possibility is that contamination of the blank filter in the Lumex led to a rise in the baseline measurement of the instrument, as suggested by the lowering of background GEM readings. High levels of acid gases and high humidity may also have hindered the spectrophotometric detection of GEM, and in future analyses with the instrument pretreatment of the gas with a desiccant and the introduction of an alkali-impregnated filter may help in avoiding these difficulties although this could lead to loss of Hg to these surfaces and affect the flow rate of the Lumex. The comparison of the Hg techniques by Kim et al. [2006] was carried out in ambient air and concentrations of GEM were generally far lower than those found at Masaya. While confidence in the absolute values of the Lumex instrument may be limited, we were able to detect changes in the GEM at the sampling site due to wind-derived changes in the dilution of volcanic gases with background air with greater certainty.

### 3.8. Hg/CO₂ and Hg/SO₂ Ratios

[34] The GEM data from the real-time measurements at Masaya does not generally correlate well with the real-time measurements of SO₂ or CO₂ at the vent. This is in part because of the lack of resolution in the SO₂ and CO₂ data, which makes it difficult to see the changes in these components. By averaging CO₂ and GEM concentrations over 1 min periods, we determined that the average GEM/CO₂ mass ratio in Masaya’s plume was of the order

### Table 3. Hg and SO₂ Concentrations and Ratios at Masaya Determined by Direct Sampling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location and Date</th>
<th>TGM (ng/m³)</th>
<th>Hg(p) (ng/m³)</th>
<th>SO₂ (µg/m³)</th>
<th>TGM/SO₂ (mass)</th>
<th>Hg(p)/SO₂ (mass)</th>
<th>SO₂ Flux (Mg d⁻¹)</th>
<th>TGM Flux (Mg a⁻¹)</th>
<th>Hg(0) Flux (Mg a⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nindiri 24/02/06</td>
<td>225.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>20 600</td>
<td>1.09 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.19 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapper 26/02/06</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>1.74 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.28 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>10.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapper 01/03/06</td>
<td>157.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>1.64 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.43 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nindiri 03/03/06</td>
<td>193.1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3.74 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapper 04/03/06</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.79 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.74 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ± 1 s.d.</td>
<td>163.5 ± 48</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>11 000</td>
<td>2.00 × 10⁻⁵ ± 1 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.91 × 10⁻⁶ ± 0.6 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>7.24 ± 2.1</td>
<td>7.23 ± 2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aTGM data are from gold traps, Hg(p) data from minitraps. Here n.d. is not determined.

### Table 4. Mercury Speciation at Masaya

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (ng m⁻³)</th>
<th>TGM</th>
<th>GEM</th>
<th>Hg(p)</th>
<th>RGM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of total Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg/SO₂ (mass)</td>
<td>20.0 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>19.8 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.91 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.18 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg Flux (kg a⁻¹)</td>
<td>7240</td>
<td>7160</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of $10^{-7}$. The TGM/SO$_2$ ratio was determined at Masaya on a number of occasions using gold traps and filter packs. These results are reported in Table 3 with the TGM flux calculated from the SO$_2$ flux determined from DOAS measurements at a similar time (see section 3.1). The mass ratios recorded at Masaya by time integrated sampling varied between 1.1 and $3.7 \times 10^{-5}$. The highest TGM/SO$_2$ ratios were observed on 3 and 4 March, which was also when the SO$_2$ flux dropped below that seen earlier in the campaign. The ratios measured at the two locations on Masaya were similar and are consistent with a common source for the gas encountered. These are the first measurements of this kind of the Hg/SO$_2$ ratio at volcanoes elsewhere. Hg measurements were not made at this location. The gas sensor detected very little SO$_2$ but some H$_2$S (3–6 ppm) was detected above the low SO$_2$ signal at this site. Peaks in H$_2$S data corresponded with those of CO$_2$ on a number of occasions (Figure 4). The correlation between the CO$_2$ and H$_2$S data was weak due in part to the poor resolution; the molar ratio of background corrected CO$_2$/H$_2$S based on 1-min averages was between 0.3 and 35 (mean $14 \pm 8$).

The composition of gases released at a CO$_2$-rich fumarole on Masaya close to Nindiri crater (Figure 1b) was also investigated (Figure 5). The CO$_2$ concentrations measured were between 450 and 5000 ppm while GEM varied between 11 and 219 ng m$^{-3}$. The CO$_2$ and GEM emissions correlated well ($r^2 = 0.85$) with a molar GEM/CO$_2$ ratio of $4.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-9}$ (mass ratio $1.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-8}$).

The composition of gases of the low-temperature fumaroles at Cerro Comalito (CC, Figure 1b) was also studied (Figure 6). These fumaroles are situated further away from Masaya’s main vent, are dominated by steam and diffuse CO$_2$ emissions, and have a slightly lower temperature ($\sim$70–85°C) than those at Nindiri, (100–150°C [Gemmill, 1987]). Lower GEM concentrations, between 6.7 and 36.2 ng m$^{-3}$, were measured at this location. Hg concentrations showed good agreement with both humidity and CO$_2$. The molar ratio of GEM/CO$_2$ at Cerro Comalito was $4.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-8}$ ($r^2 = 0.79$; mass ratio $1.8 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-8}$), similar to the ratio at the Nindiri fumarole despite the differences in volatile mercury concentration range.

These results are similar to those observed in studies of fumaroles elsewhere. Engle et al. [2006] measured the

![Figure 4. CO$_2$ (black) and H$_2$S (light gray) concentrations recorded at Telica, 27 February 2006. H$_2$S concentration corrected for SO$_2$ cross-sensitivity determined during calibration.](image-url)
Hg/CO₂ ratios in three hydrothermal systems in western United States with temperatures 85–95°C and a presence of H₂S. These ratios bracketed those measured at Masaya’s fumaroles with lower molar ratios at a fumarole and frying pan lake at Yellowstone caldera (1.6 to 2.6 × 10⁻⁹) and higher values in emissions of Mt Lassen’s fumaroles (Cascades, USA) (0.2 to 2.2 × 10⁻⁹). Measurements at a higher temperature (~250°C) fumarole at La Fossa Crater, Vulcano with the same Lumex/CO₂ sensor set up found a GEM/CO₂ mass ratio of 6.0 ± 0.3 × 10⁻⁸ [Aiuppa et al., 2007]. Measurements made with this equipment at low temperature (~100°C) fumaroles at Tatun volcanic group, northern Taiwan, found a greater variation of Hg/CO₂ mass ratios (4–40 × 10⁻⁸) than was observed at Masaya’s fumaroles (M. L. Witt et al., submitted manuscript 2008).

While it is possible that the Hg concentrations obtained here with the Lumex underestimate GEM, due to the difficulties discussed earlier (section 3.7), the good correlation between the GEM and CO₂ data gives some confidence in the Lumex’s ability to detect changes in Hg. At the Nindiri fumarole the GEM/CO₂ mass ratio varied over the 10 min sampling period at the fumarole between 8.5 × 10⁻⁹ and 1.38 × 10⁻⁷ (Figure 7a). The mean Hg/CO₂ ratio based on one minute averages was 3.1 ± 1.0 × 10⁻⁸. The high GEM/CO₂ ratio did not always correspond with the high GEM concentrations. During this sampling period at the Nindiri fumarole, some SO₂ was detected (up to 30 ppm), which may indicate an influence from the gases emitted by the main vent. The variation in the Hg/CO₂ ratios recorded at Nindiri fumarole could reflect a difference between the fumarolic and main vent Hg/CO₂. At Cerro Comalito, upwind and distant from the main vent, there was less variation observed in the Hg/CO₂ ratio (range 1.8–3.5 × 10⁻⁸, mean 2.4 ± 0.3 × 10⁻⁸ Figure 7b), consistent with steady state degassing of a low temperature fumarole system, with minimal influence from the main vent gases.

3.10. Mercury Fluxes From Masaya

Assuming that the composition of the fumarole gases is representative of the soil gas in this area, the low-temperature GEM flux from Masaya can be estimated from the diffuse CO₂ soil flux of the region of 20 tons d⁻¹ [Lewicki et
This yields a low-temperature GEM flux from Masaya of 0.4 g GEM d⁻¹ (55 μg GEM m⁻² d⁻¹). This is considerably lower than the TGM flux we estimate from Masaya’s main vent (section 3.8). However, the low-temperature Hg flux estimated here for Masaya is elevated above the background soil fluxes observed at unpolluted sites (<2 μg m⁻² d⁻¹ [Garcia-Sanchez et al., 2006, and references therein]). The diffuse GEM flux per unit area that we estimate for Masaya is comparable to that estimated for two dormant volcanoes in El Salvador: at

Figure 6. Measurements at Cerro Comalito, 6 March 2006. Values are shown as 30 s averages. (a) Humidity (light gray) and GEM (black) concentration in gases, (b) GEM (black) and CO₂ (gray) concentrations, (c) [GEM] plotted against [CO₂] as mass values.
Ilopango caldera, Lopez et al. [2004] measured soil gas Hg and CO$_2$ and, from their distribution maps, we estimate that the Hg flux from one small anomaly (“F”) is $10^{10}$ m$^{-2}$ g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. From similar data for San Miguel volcano [Cartagena et al., 2004], we estimate a GEM flux of $35^{10}$ m$^{-2}$ g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for one anomaly (“M”). The Hg flux estimated for thermal sites at Lassen volcanic center was $6.5$ m$^{-2}$ g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ and at acidic thermal sites at Yellowstone Caldera $3.3$ m$^{-2}$ g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ [Engle et al., 2006]. These values were based on measurements with dynamic flux chambers and the thermally active areas showed the greatest variability in Hg fluxes.

[43] The concentration of Hg$_{(g)}$ at Masaya’s crater rim is estimated as $8$ ng m$^{-2}$. Using the average annual deposition velocity of Hg$_{(g)}$ estimated by Shannon and Voldner [1995] as $0.17$ cm s$^{-1}$, a dry deposition flux of $49$ ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ is obtained. The RGM concentration at Masaya of $2$ ng m$^{-3}$ with a RGM deposition velocity of $1$ cm s$^{-1}$ [Shannon and Voldner, 1995] would yield a dry deposition flux of $72$ ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$. Although Hg concentrations are expected to dilute rapidly upon transport downwind, this represents a large input above background levels. Crater rim deposition fluxes are of a magnitude similar to those seen close to polluted urban and industrial areas (e.g., Detroit, $5–25$ ng Hg$_{(g)}$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ [Pirrone et al., 1995] or Tokyo, $1$ ng Hg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ [Sakata and Marumoto, 2004]. In addition, wet deposition of trace metals is generally $3–5$ times greater than dry deposition [Duce et al., 1991]. This suggests that Masaya is an important source of mercury to the immediate area downwind of the plume, delivering mercury far in excess of background unpolluted deposition fluxes.

Figure 7. GEM concentrations (gray) and GEM/CO$_2$ ratios (black) measured spectrophotometrically (a) at a fumarole near Nindiri Crater, Masaya, 3 March 2006 and (b) at Cerro Comalito, 6 March 2006. Data plotted as 30 s averages.
Our measurements provide the first estimate of the TGM flux from Masaya. The Hg/SO$_2$ mass ratio determined at Masaya ($2 \times 10^{-5}$) is within the range of values observed at other volcanoes ($10^{-9}$–$10^{-4}$ [Aiuppa et al., 2007; Bagnato et al., 2007; Bichler et al., 1995; DeDuerwarder et al., 1982; Phelan et al., 1982; Siegel and Siegel, 1984; Varekamp and Buseck, 1981]) and leads to an annual flux of Hg to the atmosphere from Masaya volcano of 7.2 Mg a$^{-1}$ (Table 3). This is an important regional flux, comparable in magnitude to the annual emissions of coal (6.2 Mg a$^{-1}$), wood combustion (8.2 Mg a$^{-1}$), and oil combustion (12.1 Mg a$^{-1}$) from South and Central America (1992 data) [Pirrone et al., 1996].

Previous estimates of the global nonvolatile volcanic Hg flux have used Hg/SO$_2$ ratios between $10^{-7}$ and $10^{-5}$ [Ferrara et al., 2000; Nriagu and Becker, 2003; Nriagu, 1989; Varekamp and Buseck, 1986]. The Hg/SO$_2$ ratio at Masaya is toward the upper end of this range and, if representative of similar volcanoes, suggests that volcanic mercury emissions from the degassing of basaltic magma is an important component of the global atmospheric Hg budget. Given a time-averaged SO$_2$ flux from high-temperature volcanism of ca. 3.7 Tg (SO$_2$) a$^{-1}$ [Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998; Pyle and Mather, 2003], then the corresponding global volcanic Hg flux, from degassing basaltic volcanoes, could be of the order of 74 Mg a$^{-1}$. Of course, this is an empirical result, and much more work remains to be done to understand the roles of magma composition, eruptive temperature, and volcanic gas composition in determining the SO$_2$–Hg–CO$_2$ systematics of magmatic gases.

4. Summary

We have made the first measurements of the volcanic degassing flux of mercury from Masaya volcano, Nicaragua, using both portable gas sensors and gold traps. Hg/CO$_2$ mass ratios measured in real-time with a Lumex ranged from $1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ to $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$, with a mean Hg/CO$_2$ ratio of $2 \times 10^{-7}$. Total gaseous mercury (TGM) concentrations measured by gold trap ranged from 100 to 225 ng m$^{-3}$. Reactive gaseous mercury accounted for 1% of TGM, while particulate mercury was 5% of the TGM. From these data, we estimate that the average high-temperature Hg flux from Masaya’s main vent (Santiago Crater) is $\sim 7.2$ Mg a$^{-1}$. This is comparable in magnitude to mercury emissions from coal or wood combustion Central and South America [Pirrone et al., 1996]. At Masaya’s low temperature fumarolae, Hg/CO$_2$ mass ratios were consistently around $2 \times 10^{-8}$, lower than observed in the magmatic gases of the main vent (Hg/CO$_2$ $\sim 10^{-7}$). Low-temperature Hg fluxes from Masaya’s fumarolae are insignificant ($\sim 150$ g a$^{-1}$); consistent with the dominance of high-temperature degassing fluxes for other volatile species at Masaya (e.g., SO$_2$, CO$_2$).

Ratios of S, C, Cl, Br, and I were also measured at Masaya and Telica volcanoes. CO$_2$/SO$_2$ ratios at Masaya ranged from 2.8 to 3.9, comparable to previously published values. At Masaya, molar Br/SO$_2$ was $3 \times 10^{-4}$ and I/SO$_2$ was $2 \times 10^{-5}$ suggesting time-averaged fluxes of 0.2–0.5 Mg HBr d$^{-1}$ and 0.02–0.05 Mg HI d$^{-1}$. At Telica the Br/SO$_2$ ratio was also $3 \times 10^{-4}$ and the I/SO$_2$ ratio was $5.8 \times 10^{-5}$, with corresponding fluxes of 0.2 Mg HBr d$^{-1}$ and 0.06 Mg HI d$^{-1}$. Gases at both volcanoes are enriched in I relative to Br and Cl, compared to gases from volcanoes elsewhere.
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